

Understanding the Role and Experiences of Medical Referrals at an SGM-Centered Mobile Community Clinic in the Rural Southern United States: A Qualitative Community-Based Participatory Approach

Layla Joudeh¹, BA; Orlando O. Harris^{2,3}, PhD, RN, MPH, FNP; Smith Heavner^{4,5}, MS, RN; Ethan Johnstone⁶, LMSW; Shantara K. Propst, MS⁷
¹School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco ²Department of Community Health Systems, School of Nursing, University of California, San Francisco ³Department of Medicine, Center for AIDS Prevention Studies, University of California, San Francisco ⁴Department of Public Health Sciences at Clemson University, South Carolina ⁵Center of Excellence in LGBTQ Health and Wellness at Prisma Health in Greenville, South Carolina ⁶Pride Link Mobile Community Health Clinic, Greenville, South Carolina ⁷Edward Via College of Osteopathic Medicine- Carolinas, Spartanburg, South Carolina

BACKGROUND

- SGM (sexual and gender minority) individuals in the rural Southern United States seek care from nontraditional SGM-specific resources, such as mobile clinics, to avoid discriminatory care.
- Mobile clinics often cannot provide full spectrum care and clients need referrals for other specialty services.
- Minimal literature exists on SGM individuals' experiences with medical referrals—especially in mobile clinic settings.
- We sought to describe the medical referral experiences of SGM individuals and providers at a free SGM-centered mobile community clinic (MCHC).

METHODS

Design: Qualitative descriptive study using a community-based participatory approach.

Participants: English-speaking individuals ≥ 18yo accessing or providing medical care at the MCHC.

Sampling: Purposeful and snowball sampling via social media, MCHC representatives, and participant networks. Participants were compensated for their time.

Data Collection: Individual, in-depth, semi-structured interviews via Zoom.

Analysis: Interviews were coded by the lead author and member checking occurred with all authors. We used an open-coding technique and iterative approach to create categories and themes.

Acknowledgments: National Institutes of Health: UCSF-Gladstone Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI027763), the Pride Link Mobile Community Health Clinic organization, and the incredible participants

RESULTS

Table 2: Themes of referral experiences and improvements

*Pseudonyms used

Client Referral Experiences- <i>variable, informal</i>
<i>They had a table full of resources. I [pulled] a card from that table but I could not find it when it was actually time to [set up] therapy. I [ended up] going to my doctor [for a mental health referral]. –Kyle (Client)</i>
<i>I had mentioned a couple of things that [the mental health specialist] specifically had been licensed to counsel for. And she said, “here’s my office, here’s the phone number, why don’t you give us a call and let’s set something up.” –Amir (Client)</i>
Provider Referral Experiences- <i>depended on personal knowledge</i>
<i>I was doing OMT [osteopathic manipulative treatment] on a patient and they really enjoyed it and they [said], “this is something I could use on a regular basis.” I gave them [the] information [for a provider who provided OMT]. – Charlie (Provider)</i>
<i>Once we do a screening and we see that... [the client] would probably benefit from additional services, we have our business cards there...So, we have plenty of therapists that are here that could likely take on new clients. We connect them and try to get them on a schedule. – Jay (Provider)</i>
Referral Barriers- <i>logistical barriers</i>
<i>Very specifically, it’s financially. It’s always been very tough [because] it’s just me, I don’t have a partner, I don’t have anybody to help with bills... and basically just finding time to [go to the appointment]. –Amir (Client)</i>
<i>I got there an hour earlier so that I could be prepped and set up. But there wasn’t a formal orientation ahead of time or anything like that. –Jamie (Provider)</i>
Referral Improvements- <i>formal process with support</i>
<i>It would be good...to see if people did follow up or if they were able to...have the continuation of care. If they weren’t...would [the MCHC] ... be able to help them outside of the [MCHC]...It would be good if they could follow up with people. [It’s important to see the] impact or the success rate of successful referrals. And... if you didn’t go, why.” –Ezra (Client)</i>

Table 1: Participant characteristics

n (%) unless otherwise noted	Clients N = 12	Providers N = 8
Age (mean ± SD)	27.3 ± 4.44	35.5 ± 11.3
Race		
Black	5 (42)	1 (12)
Hispanic	1 (8)	0 (0)
White	6 (50)	7 (88)
Sexual Orientation		
Queer	3 (25)	0 (0)
Bisexual	1 (8)	0 (0)
Pansexual	2 (17)	0 (0)
Lesbian	2 (17)	1 (12)
Gay	3 (25)	1 (12)
Heterosexual	1 (8)	6 (75)
Gender Identity		
Non-binary	2 (17)	0 (0)
Transgender female	1 (8)	0 (0)
Transgender male	3 (25)	0 (0)
Cisgender female	3 (25)	6 (75)
Cisgender male	3 (25)	2 (25)

DISCUSSION

- When accessing care at a mobile community clinic, **SGM individuals** in the rural southern U. S. **have a range of experiences with medical referrals** depending on the service they are accessing and the on-site resources available.
- **Providers** in a mobile community clinic setting often **rely on their personal networks and knowledge to make effective referrals.**
- **Barriers to referrals** for clients **include financial barriers** as well as **trusting new providers**, and providers in a volunteer mobile clinic setting **not receiving appropriate training.**
- **Formal referral procedures, referral support**—such as case managers, and **increased contact with providers** who can receive referrals **could improve the referral process** in both non-traditional and traditional medical settings.
- A main study limitation is that we only capture perspectives of English-speaking, openly identifying SGM individuals.